

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Wishington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/585,762 06/02/2000		06/02/2000	Dirk Van Hyning	5019	5865
25280	7590	09/10/2002			
MILLIKEN		IPANY	EXAMINER		
920 MILLIKI PO BOX 1920	6		WACHTEL, ALEXIS A		
SPARTANBURG, SC 29304				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1771	10
				DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ttachment(s)		
15)∏ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		
	☐ The translation of the foreign language p		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	·	
	application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		onlication No
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for lorer All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	gn prionty under 35 U.S.C.	3 11a(a)-(u) 01 (ĭ).
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 25 LLC C	8 119(a) (d) or (8
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12)∏ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• •	
' '/ '	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		iisappioveu by the Examiner.
11)□ T	Applicant may not request that any objection to he proposed drawing correction filed on		• •
1U)[1	the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		
	he specification is objected to by the Examin		t. e
	on Papers		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.	
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.		
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	la) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applicati		
Dispositio	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allo		tters, prosecution as to the merits is
2a)⊠		This action is non-final.	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6-	-7-2002 .	
THE N - Extens after S - If the - If NO - Failure - Any re	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perice to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statingly received by the Office later than three months after the maid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thin od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133).
A SHO	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	IONTH(S) FROM
eriod fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply 	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
		Alexis Wachtel	1771
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
			HYNING, DIRK VAN

Application/Control Number: 09/585,762

Art Unit: 1771

Detailed Action

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment and accompanying Remarks filed on 5-31-2002 have been entered and carefully considered. .

The amendment is sufficient to overcome the nonstatutory double patenting rejection of claims 1-16, but is insufficient to overcome the anticipation rejections of claims 1-16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,849,311 to Sawan et al as per sections 4-6 of the last office action.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant relies on a Declaration under 37.C.F.R. § 1.132 to overcome the rejections of claims 1-16. Applicant has relied on Example 3 of Sawan et al in order to complete a comparative experiment wherein the silver content of the coating disclosed by Sawan et al is evaluated for wash durability when applied to a fabric. Examiner notes that the wash durability of Sawan et al's coating inherently possesses the claimed properties of the instant Application. According to the supplied Declaration, the process of Sawan et al was used as per example 3 of Sawan et al's disclosure in order to apply

Application/Control Number: 09/585,762

Art Unit: 1771

a biocidal coating to said fabric. Applicant's results show that at most, about 25% of a silver containing biocidal coating remained on said fabric after being subjected to AATCC 130-1981 home wash testing protocols. The claims as amended require an amount of at least 50% of silver containing coating to be retained after being subjected to AATCC 130-1981 home wash testing protocols. Example 3 of Sawan et al's disclosure made use of a 0.05% solution of Ag/KI in conjunction with a base coating matrix to render said fabric antimicrobial. However, Examiner wishes to point out to Applicant that Sawan et al clearly enables a greater amount of Ag/KI to be used. In particular, silver solutions having a concentration from about 0.005 to about 0.5% are identified as useful (Col 10, lines 55-60). Examiner wishes to point out that Sawan et al's disclosure is enabling for a silver amount that is 10 times greater than the amount used in Example 3 of Sawan et al's disclosure. Thusly, it cannot be said that the supplied Declaration under 37.C.F.R. § 1.132 overcomes the teachings of Sawan et al. since the closest teachings found within the four corners of the Sawan et al reference have not been relied on or used in conducting an experimental comparison for the purposes of overcoming Examiner's inherency arguments. While Sawan et al may disclose more than what Applicant wishes to limit their claims to, the disclosure of Sawan et al clearly includes subject matter encompassed by the scope of the instant claims. Perhaps the claims should be amended to account for the claimed properties with more chemical and structural definition.

Application/Control Number: 09/585,762

Art Unit: 1771

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office Action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Alex Wachtel, whose number is (703)-306-0320. The Examiner can normally be reached Mondays-Fridays from 10:30am to 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Terrel Morris, can be reached at (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

LECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700